
THE INSTITUTE OF STATE
AND LAW RUSSIAN
ACADEMY OF SCIENCES



**PROCEEDINGS
OF THE INSTITUTE OF STATE
AND LAW OF THE RAS**

2019. Volume 14. No. 4

DOI: 10.35427/2073-4522-2019-14-4

“Trudy Instituta gosudarstva i prava RAN — Proceedings of the Institute of State and Law of the RAS” — a multilingual academic periodical for the coverage of the results of fundamental and applied enquiries in the field of State and law.

The Journal launched by the Institute in 2006 is published bimonthly (six times a year).

Manuscripts are accepted in Russian, English, German, French, Spanish or Italian.

The Journal has been registered as a mass media (registration certificate No. ФС77-70200 of 21 June 2017). It is included into the Press of Russia Agency Catalogue “Newspapers. Magazines”, subscription index — 86119. It is also available through the East View Information Services and “Ural-Press” Agency.

The Journal is recommended by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation for publication of scientific results of doctorate dissertations. It is indexed/abstracted in Russian Science Citation Index (RSCI), Scientific Electronic Library, Cyberleninka, Legal Information System “Garant”, WorldCat.

Address of the Editorial Board:

10, Znamenka str., Moscow 119019,

Russian Federation

Phone: +7 (495) 691-13-09

E-mail: trudy@igpran.ru

Web: <http://igpran.ru/en/proceedings.php>

DOI: 10.35427/2073-4522

Circulation: 300 copies. Order No.

Published by LLC “Amirit”

88, N.G. Chernyshevskogo str., Saratov 410004, Russian Federation

Printers proof reader: *Ol'ga V. Mehonoshina*

Desktop publisher: *Anna P. Savasteeva*

РЕДАКЦИОННАЯ КОЛЛЕГИЯ

Савенков Александр Николаевич
(главный редактор) Институт государства и права РАН (Москва, Российская Федерация)

Васильева Татьяна Андреевна (заместитель главного редактора) Институт государства и права РАН (Москва, Российская Федерация)

Варламова Наталия Владимировна (ответственный секретарь) Институт государства и права РАН (Москва, Российская Федерация)

Антокольская Мария
Амстердамский свободный университет
(Амстердам, Нидерланды)

Антонов Михаил Валерьевич Национальный исследовательский университет Высшая школа экономики в Санкт-Петербурге (Санкт-Петербург, Российская Федерация)

Бауринг
Билл Биркбек-колледж, Лондонский университет
(Лондон, Великобритания)

Видра Дорис
Университет им. Париса Лодрона
(Зальцбург, Австрия)

Грачева Елена Юрьевна Московский государственный юридический университет имени О.Е. Кутафина
(Москва, Российская Федерация)

Дождев Дмитрий Вадимович Московская высшая школа социальных и экономических наук (Москва, Российская Федерация)

EDITORIAL BOARD

Alexander N. Savenkov (Editor-in-Chief)
Institute of State and Law,
Russian Academy of Sciences
(Moscow, Russian Federation)

Tatiana A. Vasilieva
(Deputy Editor-in-Chief)
Institute of State and Law,
Russian Academy of Sciences
(Moscow, Russian Federation)

Natalia V. Varlamova
(Executive Secretary)
Institute of State and Law,
Russian Academy of Sciences
(Moscow, Russian Federation)

Masha V. Antokolskaia
VU University Amsterdam
(Amsterdam, the Netherlands)

Mikhail V. Antonov
Higher School of Economics
National Research University,
Campus in Saint Petersburg
(Saint Petersburg, Russian Federation)

Bill Bowring
School of Law,
Birkbeck, University of London
(London, United Kingdom)

Doris Wydra
University of Salzburg
(Salzburg, Austria)

Elena Yu. Gracheva
Kutafin Moscow State Law University
(Moscow, Russian Federation)

Dmitry V. Dozhdev
Moscow School of Social
and Economic Sciences
(Moscow, Russian Federation)

Кленова Татьяна Владимировна Самарский национальный исследовательский университет им. академика С.П. Королева (Самара, Российская Федерация)

Мелкевик Бьярн Университет Лавалья (Квебек, Канада)

Полубинская Вениаминовна Светлана Институт государства и права РАН (Москва, Российская Федерация)

Саликов Марат Сабирьянович Уральский государственный юридический университет (Екатеринбург, Российская Федерация)

Соболева Аниита Карловна Национальный исследовательский университет Высшая школа экономики (Москва, Российская Федерация)

Солан Лоуренс Бруклинская школа права (Бруклин, США)

Трунк Александр Кильский университет им. Христиана Альбрехта (Киль, Германия)

Тимошина Елена Владимировна Санкт-Петербургский государственный университет (Санкт-Петербург, Российская Федерация)

Фиттипальди Эдоардо Миланский государственный университет (Милан, Италия)

Ширвиндт Андрей Михайлович МГУ им. М.В. Ломоносова (Москва, Российская Федерация)

Tatyana V. Klenova Korolev Samara National Research University (Samara, Russian Federation)

Bjarne Melkevik University of Laval (Quebec, Canada)

Svetlana V. Polubinskaya Institute of State and Law, Russian Academy of Sciences (Moscow, Russian Federation)

Marat S. Salikov Ural State Law University (Yekaterinburg, Russian Federation)

Anita K. Soboleva Higher School of Economics National Research University (Moscow, Russian Federation)

Lawrence Solan Brooklyn Law School (Brooklyn, USA)

Alexander Trunk Kiel University (Kiel, Germany)

Elena V. Timoshina Saint Petersburg State University (Saint Petersburg, Russian Federation)

Edoardo Fittipaldi State University of Milan (Milan, Italy)

Andrey M. Shirvindt Lomonosov Moscow State University (Moscow, Russian Federation)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

HUMAN RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS

Natalia V. Varlamova

Digital Rights – New Generation of Human Rights?9

PHILOSOPHY AND METHODOLOGY OF LAW

Natalia S. Vasilyeva

Legal Validity as a Psychological Fact: Uppsala School
in an Intellectual Context of the Continental Legal Realism..... 47

Arseny A. Kraevsky

Problems of Explication of Concepts of Validity and Efficacy
of Law in E. Bulygin’s Legal Theory 81

URGENT PRIVATE LAW PROBLEMS

Vasiliy N. Gutsulyak

The Types of the Charter-Parties in International
Commercial Shipping..... 108

LEGAL ISSUES OF EUROPEAN INTEGRATION

Elena A. Sorokina

References for a Preliminary Ruling
to the European Union Court of Justice:
The Substantive Criteria of Admissibility..... 131

INTERNATIONAL STANDARDS AND FOREIGN EXPERIENCE

Elisabeth Rossa

Protection of Children with Disabilities.
Correlation of the UN Convention on the Children’s Rights
and the UN Convention on the Rights of Disabled Persons 157

CRITIQUE AND BIBLIOGRAPHY

Ol'ga L. Dubovik

- Criminal Law Doctrine and Philosophical Theories. Reflections on the Book of Sergey A. Bochkarev (2019) *Filosofiya ugovnogo prava: postanovka voprosa [Philosophy of Criminal Law: Posing of the Question]*. Moscow: Norma. 424 pp. 179

PERSONALITIES

Alexander I. Cherkasov

- Professor Veniamin E. Chirkin 95th Birthday 186

Veniamin E. Chirkin, Ninel S. Krylova, Olga A. Khazova and Dmitry V. Dozhdev

- The Jubilee of Professor William Elliott Butler 190

IN MEMORIA

Mikhail V. Antonov, Sergei V. Korolev and Andrey V. Polyakov

- In Memory of Werner Krawietz..... 203

NATALIA V. VARLAMOVA

Institute of State and Law, Russian Academy
of Sciences 10, Znamenska str., Moscow
119019, Russian Federation E-mail:
varlam_n@list.ru
ORCID: 0000-0002-0968-3296

DOI: 10.35427/2073-4522-2019-14-4-varlamova

DIGITAL RIGHTS — NEW GENERATION OF HUMAN RIGHTS?

Abstract. The digitalization substantially affects virtually all social relationships, the fact that requires reassessment of many basic legal concepts. Among them are human rights. It is now increasingly asserted that technological innovations result in the emergence of new digital rights being that fundamentally differ from conventional rights and form a new generation of human rights. The most frequent among such rights are a right to internet access, right to personal data protection and right to be forgotten (right to erasure). To assess the validity of such assertions it is necessary to clarify the grounds for classification of human rights by generations and to determine the correlation between new human rights and the conventional ones.

The classification of human rights by their generations offered in 1970 by K. Vasak can be based upon substantive (essential) and chronological criteria. In the latter case the number of new generations of human rights can be whatsoever high while the difference between them is insignificant. If to proceed from the substantive criterion, the rights of the first generation express claims of a human being towards individual freedom and assume the obligation of the State to respect and protect it; rights of the second generation are claims towards social assistance on the part of the State and society to maintain an adequate standard of living; rights of the third generation are a sort of projection of rights of the first and second generations to relations between social communities (international, in proper sense, non cross-border, relations). In such context to substantiate the emergence of a new generation of human rights it is necessary to prove that the related rights forming it have an absolutely different legal nature as compared to the rights of the first and second

generations.

The right for internet access in international acts, national constitutions and laws as well as in judicial practice is primarily treated as a condition and guarantee of exercise of conventional human rights. Along with this, with due regard to a special significance of Internet for exercise of many human rights, development of democracy and civil society, transparency of state administration the access to it may be recognized as an independent human right. However, the legal nature of such right is quite conventional, it includes claims related both to the first and second generation of human rights. As a right of the first generation it assumes negative obligations of the State not to prohibit and not to restrict an access to Internet (certain Internet resources) and its positive obligations to establish a statutory regulation of access to Internet and provision of protection against illegal restrictions, *inter alia*, on the part of private entities. As a right of the second generation the accessibility of Internet in its material and technical aspects may be regarded, the fact that assumes positive obligations of the State to establish a corresponding infrastructure, to subsidize the provider-supplied services, to organize public access points and to develop educational programs etc. Moreover, the currently applicable international and national regulation of this sphere of relations does not allow asserting that the legal recognition of the right to Internet access has taken place.

Keywords: human rights, generations of human rights, digitalization, digital rights, freedom of expression, freedom of information, right to communicate, Internet access right

REFERENCES

Alston, P. (1982). A Third Generation of Solidarity Rights: Progressive Development or Obfuscation of International Human Rights Law? *Netherlands International Law Review*, 29(3), pp. 307–322. DOI: 10.1017/S0165070X00012882

Beaulac, S. (2000). The Westphalian Legal Orthodoxy — Myth or Reality? *Journal of the History of International Law*, 2, pp. 148–177.

Beitz, C.R. (2009). *The Idea of Human Rights*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. DOI:10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199572458.001.0001

Blunt, G.D. (2017). Is There a Human Right to Resistance? *Human Rights Quarterly*, 39 (4), pp. 860–881. DOI: 10.1353/hrq.2017.0052

Cerf, V.G. (2012). Emergent Properties, Human Rights, and the Internet. *IEEE Internet Computing*, 16(2), pp. 87–88.

Coccoli, J. (2017). The Challenges of New Technologies in the Implementation of Human Rights: An Analysis of Some Critical Issues in the Digital Era. *Peace Human Rights Governance*, 1(2), pp. 223–250. DOI: 10.14658/pupj-phrg-2017-2-4

Eide, A. (1995). Economic, Social and Cultural Rights as Human Rights. In: A. Eide, Krause and A. Rosas, eds. *Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights: A Textbook*. Dordrecht: Martinus Nijhoff, 1995. P. 9–28.

Fisher, D. (1982). *The Right to Communicate: A Status Report*. UNESCO Reports and Papers on Mass Communication. No. 94. Paris: UNESCO.

Fisher, D. and Harms, L.S., eds. (1983). *The Right to Communicate: A New Human Rights*. Dublin: Boole Press.

Fontanelli, F. (2016). The Court of Justice of the European Union and the Illusion of Balancing in Internet-related Disputes. In: O. Pollicino and G. Romeo, eds. *The Internet and Constitutional Law. The Protection of Fundamental Rights and Constitutional Adjudication in Europe*. London; New York: Routledge, pp. 94–117. DOI: 10.4324/9781315684048

Hamelink, C.J. (1994). *The Politics of World Communications: A Human Rights Perspective*. London: Sage.

Hardy, I.T. (1994). The Proper Legal Regime for “Cyberspace”. *University of Pittsburgh Law Review*, 55, pp. 993–1055.

Harzl, B. (2018). Otkrytie yashchika Pandory, ili Kak pravo na samoopredelenie razzhigaet obmanchivye strasti (nekotorye mezhdunarodno-pravovye aspekty nezavisimosti Kosova) [The Opening of a Pandora’s Box or How the Right to Self-determination Inflames Illusory Passions (Some International Legal Aspects of Kosovo’s Independence)]. Trudy Instituta

gosudarstva i prava RAN — Proceedings of the Institute of State and Law of the RAS, 13(5), pp. 92–116. (in Russ.).

Hilpold, P. (2013). The League of Nations and the Protection of Minorities — Rediscovering a Great Experiment. *Max Planck Yearbook of United Nations Law*, 17, pp. 87–124. Hilpold, P., ed. (2012). *Kosovo and International Law: The ICJ Advisory Opinion of*

22 July 2010. Leiden; Boston: Martinus Nijhoff. DOI: 10.1163/9789004221291

Johnson, D.R. and Post, D.G. (1996). Law and Borders — The Rise of Law in Cyberspace. *Stanford Law Review*, 48(5), pp. 1367–1402. DOI: 10.2307/1229390

Kaufmann, A. (1985–1986). Small Scale Right to Resist. *New England Law Review*, 21(3), pp. 571–580.

Khusnutdinov, A. (2017). Pravo na dostup v Internet — novoe pravo cheloveka? [Is the Right to Access the Internet a New Human Right?]. *Sravnitel'noe konstitutsionnoe obozrenie [Comparative Constitutional Review]*, (4), pp. 109–123. (in Russ.). DOI: 10.21128/1812-7126-2017-4-109-123

Kohen, M.G., ed. (2006). *Secession: International Law Perspectives*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. DOI: 10.1017/CBO9780511494215

Krasner, S. and Froats, D. (1998). Minority Rights and the Westphalian Model. In: Lake and D. Rothchild, eds. *The International Spread of Ethnic Conflict: Fear, Diffusion, and Escalation*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, pp. 227–250.

Liu, H. (2016). Two Faces of Self-Determination in Political Divorce. *Vienna Journal on International Constitutional Law*, 10(4), pp. 355–385. DOI: 10.1515/icl-2016-0402 Ludlow, P. ed. (2001). *Crypto Anarchy, Cyberstates, and Pirate Utopias*. Cambridge: MIT Press. DOI: 10.7551/mitpress/2229.001.0001

Macklem, P. (2015). Human Rights in International Law: Three Generations or One? *London Review of International Law*, 3(1), pp. 61–92. DOI: 10.1093/lril/lrv001 Milanovic, M. and Wood, M., eds. (2015). *The Law and Politics of the Kosovo Advisory Opinion*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. DOI:

Mir, J.B. and Bassini, M. (2016). In: O. Pollicino and G. Romeo, eds. *The Internet and Constitutional Law. The Protection of Fundamental Rights and Constitutional Adjudication in Europe*. London; New York: Routledge, pp. 71–93. DOI: 10.4324/9781315684048

Muharremi, R. (2008). Kosovo's Declaration of Independence: Self-Determination and Sovereignty Revisited. *Review of Central and East European Law*, 33(4), pp. 401–435. DOI: 10.1163/157303508x3

Müllerson, R.A. (1991). *Prava cheloveka: idei, normy, real'nost'* [Human Rights: The Ideas, Norms and Reality]. Moscow: Yuridicheskaya literatura. (in Russ.).

Nickel, J.W. (2008). Rethinking Indivisibility: Towards a Theory of Mutually Supporting Relations Between Human Rights. *Human Rights Quarterly*, 30(4), pp. 984–1001. DOI: 10.1353/hrq.0.0046

Osiander, A. (2001). Sovereignty, International Relations, and the Westphalian Myth. *International Organization*, 55(2), pp. 251–287.

Özden, M. and Golay, Ch. (2010). *The Right of Peoples to Self-determination and to Permanent Sovereignty over their Natural Resources seen from a Human Rights Perspective*. Geneva: CETIM.

Penney, J.W. (2011). Internet Access Rights: A Brief History and Intellectual Origins. *William Mitchell Law Review*, 38(1), pp. 10–42.

Perritt, H.H. (1997). Cyberspace Self-Government: Town Hall Democracy or Rediscovered Royalism? *Berkeley Technology Law Journal*, 12(2), pp. 413–482. DOI: 10.15779/Z38N08W

Philpott, D. (2004). Religious Freedom and the Undoing of the Westphalian State. *Michigan Journal of International Law*, 25(4), pp. 981–998.

Pocar, F. (2015). Some Thoughts on the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the “Generations” of Human

Rights. *International Human Rights Review*, 10, pp. 43–53.

Pogrebnyak, S.P. and Uvarova, E.A. (2013). Soprotivlenie ugneteniyu. Vosstanie. Revolyutsiya (teoretiko-pravovoi analiz v svete doktriny prav cheloveka) [Resistance to Oppression. Insurrection. Revolution (Theoretical and Legal Analysis in the Light of the Doctrine of Human Rights)]. *Pravo i gromadyans'ke suspil'stvo* [Law and Civil Society], (2), pp. 4–61. (in Russ.).

Reidenberg, J.R. (1998). Lex Informatica: The Formulation of Information Policy Rules through Technology. *Texas Law Review*, 76(3), pp. 553–593.

Shannonbrooke, M. (2012). The Right to Resist Reconsidered. In: D. Keane and Y. McDermott, eds. *The Challenge of Human Rights: Past, Present and Future*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, pp. 91–113. DOI: 10.4337/9780857939012.00012

Shapiro, A.L. (1999). *The Control Revolution: How the Internet Is Putting Individuals in Charge and Changing the World We Know*. New York: A Century Foundation.

Sniadecki, T.D. (2014). *A Road Compared to a Horse: An Examination of Internet Access as a Human Right*. [online] Grand Valley State University. Honors Projects. Available at: <http://scholarworks.gvsu.edu/honorsprojects/283> [Accessed 22 May 2019].

Talapina, E. (2016). Pravo na informatsiyu v svete teorii sub'ektivnogo publichnogo prava [Right to Information in the Light of the Subjective Rights Theory]. *Sravni- tel'noe konstitutsionnoe obozrenie* [Comparative Constitutional Review], (6), pp. 70–83. (in Russ.). DOI: 10.21128/1812-7126-2016-6-70-83

Talapina, E.V. (2018). Zashchita personal'nykh dannykh v tsifrovuyu ehpokhU: rossiiskoe pravo v evropeiskom kontekste [Personal Data Protection in the Digital Era: Russian Law in the European Context]. *Trudy Instituta gosudarstva i prava RAN — Proceedings of the Institute of State and Law of the RAS*, 13(5), pp. 117–150. (in Russ.).

Talapina, E.V. (2019). Ehvolutsiya prav cheloveka v

tsifrovuyu ehpokhu [Evolution of Human Rights in the Digital Era]. *Trudy Instituta gosudarstva i prava RAN — Proceedings of the Institute of State and Law of the RAS*, 14(3), pp. 122–146. (in Russ.). DOI: 10.35427/2073-4522-2019-14-3-talapina

Talapina, E.V. (2019). Prava cheloveka v Internete [Human Rights on the Inter- net]. *Zhurnal rossiiskogo prava [Journal of Russian Law]*, (2), pp. 41–54. (in Russ.). DOI: 10.12737/art_2019_2_4

Tomalty, J. (2017). Is There a Human Right to Internet Access? *Philosophy Now*, 118, pp. 6–8.

Vasak, K. (1977). Human Rights: A Thirty-Year Struggle: The Sustained Efforts to Give Force of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. *UNESCO Courier*, (11), pp. 28–29, 32.

Vasak, K. (1984). Pour une troisième génération des droits de l'home. In: C. Swinarski, ed. *Studies and Essays on International Humanitarian Law and Red Cross Principles in Honour of Jean Pictet*. Geneva: Martinus Nijhoff; International Committee of the the Red Cross, pp. 837–850.

Wellman, C. (2000). Solidarity, the Individual and Human Rights. *Human Rights Quarterly. A Comparative and International Journal of the Social Sciences, Humanities, and Law*, 22(3), pp. 639–657.

Whelan, D.J. (2010). *Indivisible Human Rights: A History*. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press. DOI: 10.9783/9780812205404

AUTHOR'S INFO:

Natalia V. Varlamova — Candidate of Legal Sciences, Associate Professor, Leading Research Fellow of the Human Rights Department, Institute of State and Law, Russian Academy of Sciences.

CITATION:

Varlamova, N.V. (2019). Digital Rights — New Generation of Human Rights? *Trudy Instituta gosudarstva i prava RAN — Proceedings of the Institute of State and Law of the RAS*, 14(4), pp. 9–46. DOI: 10.35427/2073-4522-2019-14-4-varlamova

NATALIA S. VASILYEVA

Saint Petersburg State University
7/9, Universitetskaya embankment, Saint
Petersburg 199034, Russian Federation

E-mail:

nataliavasilyeva@hotmail.com

ORCID: 0000-0001-8030-

8483 DOI:

10.35427/2073-4522-2019-14-

4-vasilyeva

LEGAL VALIDITY AS A PSYCHOLOGICAL FACT: UPPSALA SCHOOL IN THE INTELLECTUAL CONTEXT OF CONTINENTAL LEGAL REALISM

The article was prepared within the framework of the scientific project № 18-011-01195 “Validity and Efficacy of Law: Theoretical Models and Strategies of Judicial Argumentation”, supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research.

Abstract. There are two traditions of determining the foundation of legal validity — metaphysical and antimetaphysical. At the beginning of the 20th century the antimetaphysical tradition was supplemented by psychological realism, which was developed in the framework of the Uppsala School and the psychological school of Leon Petrażycki. It is possible to trace the common line of reasoning on the problem of legal validity within Continental or psychological legal realism: from Petrażycki (and his students) and Axel Hägerström (and his students, including Alf Ross) to Enrico Pattaro. Psychological legal realism is an approach to law that can be characterized by 1) an orientation toward the study of law in the context of facts of psychophysical reality; 2) the idea of the psychological nature of law; 3) recognition of the authoritative-mystical nature and objectification of legal experiences; 4) the irreducibility of law to the behavioral aspect; etc.

The term "Uppsala School of Legal Realism" denotes the

theoretical legal position of scholars from Uppsala — Hagerström and his most faithful students, Anders Vilhelm Lundstedt and Karl Olivecrona — within the framework of a broader Scandinavian legal realism as part of the continental realistic tradition. The philosophical foundations of the Uppsala School of Legal Realism include: rejection of subjectivism and metaphysics, naturalism, non-cognitivism. This school paid special attention to questions on the possibility of scientific knowledge about law, the construction of a value-neutral theory, and the search for reliable methodological foundations for the science of law. The revolt against subjectivism and metaphysics led to the assertion that there is — and can be the subject of scientific knowledge — only one reality, namely spatio-temporal, psychophysical. Since legal concepts do not directly correspond to the facts of such a reality, they are considered as illusions and even magical formulas, which, however, are based on actual psychological facts and have an effect on people's consciousness.

In the framework of the Uppsala School from a realistic point of view law appears

as a machinery of coercion, as a factual order based on the organized social force. Within that order the rules of law are independent imperatives and motives of behavior, which have a suggestive, binding effect on the consciousness and behavior of people. The validity of law is determined by the power of organized social coercion and is regarded as a complex phenomenon of the people's inner world. As a complex psychological fact, legal validity is considered a psychological self-binding engendered by the physical coercion machinery in action and the influence of cultural, social and even biological factors.

Keywords: Scandinavian Legal Realism, Uppsala School, legal validity, coercion, force of law, organized force, Axel Hägerström, Anders Vilhelm Lundstedt, Karl Olivecrona

REFERENCES

Aarnio, A. (2008). Introduction. In: Peczenik, A. *On Law and Reason*. Dordrecht: Springer, pp. 1–12. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4020-8730-1

Aarnio, A. (2011). *Essays on the Doctrinal Study of Law*. Dordrecht: Springer. DOI: 10.1007/978-94-007-1655-1

Antonov, M.V. (2009). Skandinavskaya shkola pravovogo realizma [Scandinavian School of Legal Realism]. In: A.V. Polyakov, ed. *Rossiiskii ezhegodnik teorii prava* [Russian Yearbook of Theory of Law]. Issue 1. Saint Petersburg: OOO “Universitetskii izdatel’skii konsortsium ‘Yuridicheskaya kniga’”, pp. 645–668. (in Russ.).

Bindreiter, U. (2016). Anders Vilhelm Lundstedt: In Quest of Reality. In: E. Pattaro and C. Roversi, eds. *A Treatise of Legal Philosophy and General Jurisprudence. Volume 12. Legal Philosophy in the Twentieth Century: The Civil Law World. Tome 2: Main Orientations and Topics*. Dordrecht: Springer, pp. 379–400. DOI: 10.1007/978-94-007-1479-3_43

Bjarup, J. (2005). The Philosophy of Scandinavian Legal Realism. *Ratio Juris*, 18(1), pp. 1–15. DOI: 10.1111/j.1467-9337.2005.00282.x

Eliasz, K. and Jakubiec, M. (2016). The Vienna Circle and the Uppsala School as Philosophical Inspirations for the Scandinavian Legal Realism. *Semina Scientiarum*, 15, pp. 107–123. DOI: 10.15633/ss.1771

Fittipaldi, E. (2016). Introduction: Continental Legal Realism. In: E. Pattaro and C. Roversi, eds. *A Treatise of Legal Philosophy and General Jurisprudence. Volume 12. Legal Philosophy in the Twentieth Century: The Civil Law World. Tome 2: Main Orientations and Topics*. Dordrecht: Springer, pp. 297–318. DOI: 10.1007/978-94-007-1479-3_40

Hägerström, A. (1927). *Der Römische Obligations begriff im Lichte der Allgemeinen römischen Rechtsanschauung*. Volume I. Uppsala: Almqvist & Wiksell. (in Germ.).

Hägerström, A. (1929). *Selbstdarstellung*. In: R. Schmidt, ed. *Die Philosophie der Gegenwart in Selbstdarstellungen*. Volume

7. Leipzig: Meiner, pp. 111–159. (in Germ.).

Hägerström, A. (1953). *Inquiries into the Nature of Law and Morals*. Translated by

C.D. Broad. Uppsala: Almqvist & Wiksell.

Hägerström, A. (1957). *Filosofi och vetenskap* [Philosophy and Science]. Stockholm: Ehlin. (in Swed.).

Hansson, J. and Nordin, S. (2006). *Ernst Cassirer: The Swedish Years*. Bern: Peter Lang.

Kazakevich, G.V. (1996). *Shvedskaya filosofiya: Sovremennost' i klassika. Analiticheskaya traditsiya Upsaly* [Swedish Philosophy: Modernity and Classic. The Uppsala Analytic Tradition]. The Candidate of Philosophical Sciences Thesis' Abstract. Saint Petersburg: Saint Petersburg State University. (in Russ.).

Kozlikhin, I.Yu., Polyakov, A.V. and Timoshina, E.V. (2007). *Istoriya politicheskikh i pravovykh uchenii* [History of Political and Legal Doctrines]. Saint Petersburg: Izdatel'skii dom Sankt-Peterburgskogo Gosudarstvennogo Universiteta. (in Russ.).

Lundstedt, A.V. (1925). *Superstition or Rationality in Action for Peace?* London; New York: Longmans Green & Co.

Lundstedt, A.V. (1956). *Legal Thinking Revised: My Views on Law*. Stockholm: Almqvist & Wiksell.

Mautner, T. (2010). Some Myth about Realism. *Ratio Juris*, 23(3), pp. 411–427. DOI: 10.1111/j.1467-9337.2010.00461.x

McCormack, G. (1970). *Scandinavian Realism*. *Juridical Review*, 15, pp. 33–55. Mindus, P. (2009). *A Real Mind: The Life and Work of Axel Hägerström*. Dordrecht:

Springer. DOI: 10.1007/978-90-481-2895-2

Myslivchenko, A.G. (1972). *Filosofskaya mysl' v Shvetsii: osnovnye etapy i tendentsii razvitiya* [Philosophical Thought in Sweden: The Main Stages and Development Trends]. Moscow: Nauka. (in Russ.).

Olivecrona, K. (1939). *Law as Fact*. London: Stevens & Sons. [Russ. ed: Olivecrona, K. (2009). *Pravo kak fakt*. Translated from English by E. Yu. Taranchenko. In: A.V.

Polyakov, ed. Rossiiskii ezhegodnik teorii prava [Russian Yearbook of Theory of Law]. Issue 1. Saint Petersburg: OOO “Universitetskii izdatel’skii konsortsium ‘Yuridicheskaya kniga’”, pp. 669–752].

Olsen, H. P. and Toddington, S. (2012). The Scandinavian Roots of a New Approach to “Legal Knowledge”. *Retfærd: Nordisk Juridisk Tidsskrift*, 35(4/139), pp. 57–78.

Pattaro, E. (2005). No Law without Norms. In: Pattaro, E. A Treatise of Legal Philosophy and General Jurisprudence. Volume 1: The Law and the Right: A Reappraisal of the Reality that Ought to Be. Dordrecht: Springer, pp. 131–185. DOI: 10.1007/1-4020-3505-5 [Russ. ed.: Pattaro, E. (2009). *Net prava bez norm*. Translated from English by S.N. Ka- satkin. In: A.V. Polyakov, ed. Rossiiskii ezhegodnik teorii prava [Russian Yearbook of Theory of Law]. Issue 1. Saint Petersburg: OOO “Universitetskii izdatel’skii konsortsium ‘Yu- ridicheskaya kniga’”, pp. 284–342].

Pattaro, E. (2007). A Treatise of Legal Philosophy and General Jurisprudence. Volume 1: The Law and the Right: A Reappraisal of the Reality that Ought to Be. Dordrecht: Springer. Pattaro, E. (2009). From Hägerström to Ross and Hart. *Ratio Juris*, 22(4), pp. 532–548. DOI: 10.1111/j.1467-9337.2009.00439.x

Pattaro, E. (2016). Axel Hägerström at the Origins of the Uppsala School. In: E. Pat- taro and C. Roversi, eds. A Treatise of Legal Philosophy and General Jurisprudence. Volume 12: Legal Philosophy in the Twentieth Century: The Civil Law World. Tome 2: Main Orientations and Topics. Dordrecht: Springer, pp. 319–363. DOI: 10.1007/978-94-007-1479-3_41

Petrażycki, L. (2000). *Teoriya prava i gosudarstva v svyazi s teoriei npravstvennosti* [The Theory of Law and State in Connection with the Theory of Morality]. Saint Petersburg: Lan’ Publ. (in Russ.).

Proszewska, A.M. (2018). Axel Hägerström, Uppsala School, and the Rise of Swedish Analytical Philosophy. *Zeszyty Naukowe Towarzystwa Doktorantów Uniwersytetu Jagiellońskiego Nauki Humanistyczne*, 4(23), pp. 121–132. DOI:

Ross, A. (1953). *Om ret og retfærdighed: en indførelse i den analytiske retsfilosofi*. Copenhagen: A. Busck. (in Dan.). [Eng. ed: Ross, A. (1959). *On Law and Justice*. Translated from Danish by M. Dutton. Berkeley: University of California Press].

Schmidt, F. (1978). *The Uppsala School of Legal Thinking*. *Scandinavian Studies in Law*, 22, pp. 152–175.

Spaak, T. (2016). *Karl Olivecrona's Legal Philosophy*. In: E. Pattaro and C. Roversi, eds. *A Treatise of Legal Philosophy and General Jurisprudence*. Volume 12: *Legal Philosophy in the Twentieth Century: The Civil Law World*. Tome 2: *Main Orientations and Topics*. Dordrecht: Springer, pp. 365–378. DOI: 10.1007/978-94-007-1479-3_42

Strang, J. (2009). *The Scandinavian Value Nihilists and the Crisis of Democracy in the 1930s and 40s*. *NordeuropaForum*, 19(1), pp. 37–63.

Strang, J. (2009). *Two Generations of Scandinavian Legal Realists*. *Retfærd: Nordisk Juridisk Tidsskrift*, 32(1/124), pp. 61–82.

Timasheff, N.S. (1955). *Introduction*. In: Petrażycki, L. *Law and Morality*. Translated from Polish by H.W. Babb. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, pp. xvii–xxxviii. [Russ. ed.: Timasheff, N.S. (2010). *Predislovie (k knige Petrażycki L. Law and Morality*. Cambridge, 1955). Translated from English by A.V. Babanov. In: Petrażycki, L. *Teoriya i politika prava. Izbrannye trudy [Theory and Policy of Law. Selected Works]*. Saint Petersburg: OOO “Universitetskii izdatel'skii konsortsium ‘Yuridicheskaya kniga’”, pp. 908–925].

Timoshina, E.V. (2013). *Teoriya i sotsiologiya prava L.I. Petrazhitskogo v kontekste klassicheskogo i postklassicheskogo pravoponimaniya [L.I. Petrażycki's Theory and Sociology of Law in the Context of Classical and Post-Classical Legal Understanding]*. The Doctor of Legal Sciences Thesis. Moscow: Saint Petersburg State University; Institute of State and Law of the RAS. (in Russ.).

Tonkov, D.E. (2018). *Filosofiya prava Akselya Khehgerstrema [Legal Philosophy of Axel Hägerström]*. *Trudy*

Instituta gosudarstva i prava RAN — Proceedings of the Institute of State and Law of the RAS, 13(3), pp. 82–106. (in Russ.).

Vasilyeva, N. and Timoshina, E. (2019). Continental Legal Realism: Legal Validity as a Psychological Experience. In: O. Stovba and N. Satokhina, comps. *The Experience of Law. Collection of Articles and Essays*. Kharkiv: Oleg Miroshnychenko Publ., pp. 107–118.

AUTHOR'S INFO:

Natalia S. Vasilyeva — teacher-researcher, research performer in the RFBR (Russian Foundation for Basic Research) grant-funded project № 18-011-01195 “Validity and Efficacy of Law: Theoretical Models and Strategies of Judicial Argumentation”, Saint Petersburg State University.

CITATION:

Vasilyeva, N.S. (2019). Legal Validity as a Psychological Fact: Uppsala School in the Intellectual Context of Continental Legal Realism. *Trudy Instituta gosudarstva i prava RAN — Proceedings of the Institute of State and Law of the RAS*, 14(4), pp. 47–80. DOI: 10.35427/2073-4522-2019-14-4-vasilyeva

ARSENY A. KRAEVSKY

Saint Petersburg State University
7/9, Universitetskaya embankment, Saint
Petersburg 199034, Russian Federation
E-mail:
a.krajewski@yandex.ru
ORCID: 0000-0003-
0723-7273

DOI: 10.35427/2073-4522-2019-14-4-kraevsky

**PROBLEMS OF EXPLICATION OF
CONCEPTS OF VALIDITY AND
EFFICACY OF LAW
IN E. BULYGIN'S LEGAL THEORY**

The article was prepared within the framework of the scientific project № 18-011-01195 "Validity and Efficacy of Law: Theoretical Models and Strategies of Judicial Argumentation", supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research.

Abstract. Throughout his scientific work, the Argentine lawyer E. Bulygin repeatedly analyzed the problem of the validity and efficacy of law. Based on the formulations of H. Kelsen's pure theory of law, E. Bulygin sought to explicate the concepts of legal validity and efficacy, i.e. to replace them with new more accurate ones.

In the 1965 paper "The Concept of Validity" Bulygin entered into a polemic with H. Kelsen and A. Ross and formulated the concept of efficacy as a dispositional property of the legal norm reflecting its justiciability. Subsequently, however, the Argentine lawyer clarified his terminology and distinguished between the dispositional concept of efficacy (law in force) and the traditional notion of efficacy because of the conclusion on the expediency of using the old concept of efficacy along with the new one defined through justiciability. But the concept of efficacy as justiciability formulated by E. Bulygin faced a number of theoretical difficulties.

In the 1966 paper "Judicial Decisions and the Creation of Law" E. Bulygin made an attempt to explicate the concept of

validity. E. Bulygin points to three concepts designed to replace the traditional notion of validity: the validity of the norm in the system sense, the binding force of the norm and the existence of the norm. Each of these specified concepts was developed in theoretical constructions of the Argentine lawyer, however their using also generates the problems. Alternatively, the development of the notion of validity of law in the system sense can be considered "definitive" concept of validity proposed by E. Bulygin in collaboration with K.E. Alchourron in the monograph "Normative systems" (1971). However, this concept has significant differences from the originally formulated and has a very limited application. The concept of the existence of the norm does not receive independent development as a variant of the explication of the concept of the validity of law. The concept of the binding force of law, on the contrary, is divided by the Argentine jurist into two fundamentally different concepts — binding force in the metaphysical sense and binding force in the technical sense, which later E. Bulygin called "applicability". The concept of applicability was used by the Argentine legal philosopher to solve a number of problems of H. Kelsen's theory, however the concept of applicability itself leads to paradoxical consequences.

On the whole E. Bulygin's project of explicating of the concepts of validity and efficacy of the law didn't result in replacing them with series of new more precise concepts although refined in some way their meaning.

Key words: pure theory of law, validity of law, efficacy of law, justiciability, law in force, applicability of law, legal positivism, E. Bulygin, C.E. Alchourron, H. Kelsen, Hart, A. Ross

REFERENCES

Alchourrón, C.E. and Bulygin, E. (1971). *Normative Systems*. Wien; New York. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-7091-7118-9 [Russ. ed.: Alchourrón, C.E. and Bulygin, E. (2013). *Normativnye sistemy*. Translated from English by M.V. Antonov. In: E.N. Lisanyuk, ed. "Normativnye sistemy" i drugie raboty po filosofii prava i logike norm [Normative Systems and other Works in Philosophy of Law and Logic of Norms]. Saint Petersburg: Sankt-Peterburgskii universitet Publ., pp. 44–210].

Alchourrón, C.E. and Bulygin, E. (1981). *The Expressive Conception of Norms*. In:

Hilpinen, ed. *New Studies in Deontic Logic*. Dordrecht: Springer, pp. 95–124. DOI: 10.1007/978-94-009-8484-4_4 [Russ. ed.: Alchourrón, C.E. and Bulygin, E. (2013). *Ehkspressivnaya kontseptsiya norm*. Translated from English by A.E. Gomanova and E.N. Lisanyuk. In: E.N. Lisanyuk, ed. “Normativnye sistemy” i drugie raboty po filosofii prava i logike norm [Normative Systems and other Works in Philosophy of Law and Logic of Norms]. Saint Petersburg: Sankt-Peterburgskii universitet Publ., pp. 234–261].

Alchourrón, C.E. and Bulygin, E. (1989). Von Wright on Deontic Logic and Philosophy of Law. In: P.A. Sclipp and L.E. Hahn, eds. *The Philosophy of Georg Henrik von Wright*. La Salle: Open Court, pp. 665–693. [Russ. ed.: Alchourrón, C.E. and Bulygin, E.V. (2016). Von Wright o deonticheskoi logike i filosofii prava. Translated from English by D.B. Tiskin. In: Bulygin, E.V. (2016). *Izbrannye raboty po teorii i filosofii prava* [The Selected Writings in Theory and Philosophy of Law]. Saint Petersburg: Alef-Press, pp. 116–153].

Alchourrón, C.E. and Bulygin, E. (1991). *Análisis lógico y Direcho*. Madrid: Centro de Estudios Constitucionales. (in Span.).

Antonov, M.V. (2009). Skandinavskaya shkola pravovogo realizma [Scandinavian School of Legal Realism]. In: A.V. Polyakov, ed. *Rossiiskii ezhegodnik teorii prava* [Russian Yearbook of Theory of Law]. Issue 1. Saint Petersburg: OOO “Universitetskii izdatel’skii konsortsium ‘Yuridicheskaya kniga’”, pp. 645–668. (in Russ.).

Antonov, M.V. (2016). Neskol’ko vvodnykh slov o “Deistvennosti prava” E.V. Bulygina [Several Introductory Words on “Efficacy of Law” by Eugenio Bulygin]. *Izvestiya vysshikh uchebnykh zavedenii. Pravovedenie* [Proceedings of Higher Education Institutions. Pravovedenie], (4), pp. 6–15. (in Russ.).

Bulygin, E. (1965). Der Begriff der Wirksamkeit. *Archiv für Rechts- and Sozialphilosophie*, (41), pp. 39–58. (in Germ.). [Russ. ed.: Bulygin E.V. (2016). Ponyatie deistvennosti [The Concept of Validity]. Translated from German by M.V. Antonov. In: Bulygin, E.V. (2016). *Izbrannye raboty po teorii i filosofii*

prava [The Selected Writings in Theory and Philosophy of Law]. Saint Petersburg: Alef-Press, pp. 273–293].

Bulygin, E. (1982). Time and Validity. In: A.A. Martino, ed. *Deontic Logic, Computational Linguistics and Legal Information*. Volume II. Amsterdam; New York; Oxford: North-Holland Publ., pp. 65–81. [Russ. ed.: Bulygin, E. (2013). *Pravo i vremya*. Translated from English by P.A. Shapchits. In: E.N. Lisanyuk, ed. *“Normativnye sistemy” i drugie raboty po filosofii prava i logike norm* [Normative Systems and other Works in Philosophy of Law and Logic of Norms]. Saint Petersburg: Sankt-Peterburgskii universitet Publ., pp. 285–301].

Bulygin, E. (1990). An Antinomy in Kelsen’s Pure Theory of Law. *Ratio Juris*, 3(1), pp. 29–45. DOI: 10.1111/j.1467-9337.1990.tb00049.x [Russ. ed.: Bulygin, E.V. (2016). *Antinomiya v chistom uchenii o prave*. Translated from English by M.V. Antonov. In: Bulygin, E.V. *Izbrannye raboty po teorii i filosofii prava* [The Selected Writings in Theory and Philosophy of Law]. Saint Petersburg: Alef-Press, pp. 222–245].

Bulygin, E. (2003). Bemerkungen zu Kelsen, Geltung und Wirksamkeit des Rechts. In: R. Walter, C. Jabloner and K. Zeleny, eds. *Hans Kelsens stete Aktualität*. Wien: Manz, pp. 5–21. (in Germ.). [Russ. ed.: Bulygin, E.V. (2016). *Zamechaniya k “Deistvitel’nosti i deistvennosti” Kel’zena* [A Reply to “Validity and Efficacy” by Hans Kelsen]. Translated from German by M.V. Antonov. In: Bulygin, E.V. *Izbrannye raboty po teorii i filosofii prava* [The Selected Writing in Theory and Philosophy of Law]. Saint Petersburg: Alef-Press, pp. 316–323].

Bulygin, E. (2008). Das Problem der Geltung bei Kelsen. In: S.L. Paulson and M. Stolleis, eds. *Hans Kelsen. Staatsrechtslehrer und Rechtstheoretiker des 20 Jahrhunderts*. Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, pp. 80–95. (in Germ.). [Russ. ed.: Bulygin, E. (2013). *Problema deistvitel’nosti po Kel’zenu* [The Problem of Validity according to Kelsen]. Translated from German by M.V. Antonov. In: E.N. Lisanyuk, ed. *“Normativnye sistemy” i drugie raboty po filosofii prava i logike norm* [Normative Systems and other Works in Philosophy of Law and Logic of Norms]. Saint Petersburg: Sankt-Peterburgskii universitet Publ., pp. 344–357].

Bulygin, E. (2014). My Vision of the Rationality of Law. In: E. Bulygin and J.P. Alonso, eds. *Philosophy of Law International Symposium "Rationality in Law"*. Buenos Aires: Faculty of Law of Buenos Aires University, pp. 21–34. [Russ. ed.: Bulygin, E.V. (2016). Moe videnie ratsional'nosti prava. Translated from English by M.V. Antonov. In: Bulygin, E.V. *Izbrannye raboty po teorii i filosofii prava* [The Selected Writings in Theory and Philosophy of Law]. Saint Petersburg: Alef-Press, pp. 11–17].

Bulygin, E. (2015). *Essays in Legal Philosophy*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. DOI: 10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198729365.001.0001

Bulygin, E. (2015). Judicial Decisions and the Creation of Law. In: Bulygin, E. *Essays in Legal Philosophy*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 75–87. DOI: 10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198729365.003.0006 [Russ. ed.: Bulygin, E.V. (2016). Sudebnye resheniya i pravotvorchestvo. Translated from English by N.I. Satokhina. In: Bulygin, E.V. *Izbrannye raboty po teorii i filosofii prava* [The Selected Writings in Theory and Philosophy of Law]. Saint Petersburg: Alef-Press, pp. 183–199].

Bulygin, E. (2015). On the Rule of Recognition. In: Bulygin, E. *Essays in Legal Philosophy*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 117–123. DOI: 10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198729365.003.0008 [Russ. ed.: Bulygin, E.V. (2016). O pravile priznaniya. Translated from English by M.V. Antonov. In: Bulygin, E.V. (2016). *Izbrannye raboty po teorii i filosofii prava* [The Selected Writings in Theory and Philosophy of Law]. Saint Petersburg: Alef-Press, pp. 200–208].

Bulygin, E. (2015). Valid Law and Law in Force. In: Bulygin, E.V. *Essays in Legal Philosophy*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 284–292. DOI: 10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198729365.003.0019 [Russ. ed.: Bulygin, E.V. (2016). Deistvitel'noe pravo i pravo deistvuyushchee. Translated from English by S.I. Maksimov. In: Bulygin, E.V. (2016). *Izbrannye raboty po teorii i filosofii prava* [The Selected Writings in Theory and Philosophy of Law]. Saint Petersburg: Alef-Press, pp. 209–221].

Carnap, R. (1936). Testability and Meaning. *Philosophy of*

Science, 3(4), pp. 419–471. DOI: 10.1086/286432

Carnap, R. (2001). *Logical Syntax of Language*. London: Routledge. DOI: 10.4324/9781315823010

Fittipaldi, E. (2013). Nauka na sluzhbe u printsipa zakonnosti: kriticheskaya zashchita kontseptsii yuridicheskoi dogmatiki L'va Petrazhitskogo [Leon Petrażycki's Conception of Legal Dogmatics as a Science at the Service of the Principle of Legality: A Critical Defense]. Translated from English by E.V. Timoshina, A.A. Kraevsky, D.E. Tonkov. *Izvestiya vysshikh uchebnykh zavedenii. Pravovedenie* [Proceedings of Higher Education Institutions. Pravovedenie], (5), pp. 48–76.

Hart, H.L.A. (1961). *The Concept of Law*. Oxford: Clarendon Press. DOI: 10.1093/he/9780199644704.001.0001 [Russ. ed.: Hart, H.L.A. (2007). *Ponyatie prava*. Translated from English by E.V. Afosin, M.V. Babak, A.B. Didikin and S.V. Moiseev. Saint Petersburg: Sankt-Peterburgskii universitet Publ.].

Hart, H.L.A. (1983). *Kelsen Visited*. In: Hart, H.L.A. *Essays in Jurisprudence and Philosophy*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 286–308. DOI: 10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198253884.003.0015

Kasatkin, S.N. (2008). Osnovnye idei “Postskriptuma” Gerberta L.A. Kharta [Main Ideas of H.L.A. Hart's “Postscript”]. *Vestnik Samarskoi gumanitarnoi akademii. Seriya: Pravo* [The Bulletin of the Samara Humanitarian Academy. A Series of “Law”], (1), pp. 3–27. (in Russ.).

Kasatkin, S.N. (2009). “Postskriptum” k “Ponyatiyu prava” Gerberta L.A. Kharta [“Postscript” to H.L.A. Hart's “The Concept of Law”]. In: A.V. Polyakov, ed. *Rossiiskii ezhegodnik teorii prava* [Russian Yearbook of Theory of Law]. Issue 1. Saint Petersburg: OOO “Universitetskii izdatel'skii konsortsium ‘Yuridicheskaya kniga’”, pp. 753–785. (in Russ.).

Kelsen, H. (1960). *Reine Rechtslehre*. 2nd ed. Wien: Deuticke. (in Germ.). [Russ. ed.: Kelsen, H. (2015). *Chistoe uchenie o prave* [Pure Theory of Law]. Translated from German by M.V. Antonov and S.V. Loesov. 2nd ed. Saint Petersburg: Alef Press Publishing House].

Kelsen, H. (2003). *Geltung und Wirksamkeit des Rechts*. In:

R. Walter, C. Jabloner and K. Zeleny, eds. *Hans Kelsens stete Aktualität*. Wien: Manz, pp. 5–21. (in Germ.). [Russ. ed.: Kelsen, H. (2016). *Deistvitel'nost' i deistvennost' prava* [Validity and Efficacy of Law]. Translated from German by M.V. Antonov. In: Bulygin, E.V. *Izbrannye raboty po teorii i filosofii prava* [The Selected Writing in Theory and Philosophy of Law]. Saint Petersburg: Alef-Press, pp. 294–315].

Kletzer, C. (2005). *The Mutual Inclusion of Law and its Science: Reflections on Hans Kelsen's Legal Positivism*. The Doctor of Philosophy Thesis. Cambridge: University of Cambridge.

Kraevsky, A.A. (2018). *Evolyutsiya ponyatii deistvitel'nosti i deistvennosti v chistom uchenii o prave Gansa Kel'zena* [Evolution of the Concepts of Validity and Efficacy of Law in Hans Kelsen's Pure Theory of Law]. *Trudy Instituta gosudarstva i prava RAN — Proceedings of the Institute of State and Law of the RAS*, 13(6), pp. 45–70. (in Russ.).

Ross, A. (1959). *On Law and Justice*. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Ross, A. (1961). *Validity and the Conflict Between Legal Positivism and Natural Law*. *Revista Juridica de Buenos Aires*, (4), pp. 46–93. [Russ. ed.: Ross, A. (2009). *Validnost' i konflikt mezhdu pravovym pozitivizmom i estestvennym pravom*. Translated from English by M.V. Antonov. In: A.V. Polyakov, ed. *Rossiiskii ezhegodnik teorii prava* [Russian Yearbook of Theory of Law]. Issue 2. Saint Petersburg: OOO "Universitetskii izdatel'skii konsortsium 'Yuridicheskaya kniga'", pp. 458–473.

Ross, A. (1968). *Directives and Norms*. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul.

Vasilyeva, N.S. (2016). *Validnost' i istochniki prava: realisticheskaya kontseptsiya Rossa* [Alf Ross's Realistic Concept of the Sources of Law]. *Vestnik Rossiiskogo universiteta druzhby narodov. Seriya: Yuridicheskie nauki* [RUDN Journal of Law], (4), pp. 41–51. (in Russ.).

Vasilyeva, N.S. (2017). *Problema deistvitel'nosti prava v antimetafizicheskoi traditsii (kontseptsiya Al'fa Rossa)* [The Problem of Legal Validity in the Anti-Metaphysical Approach

(Alf Ross's Conception)]. Vestnik Rossiiskogo universiteta družby narodov. Seriya: Yuridicheskie nauki [RUDN Journal of Law], 21(3), pp. 396–414. (in Russ.). DOI: 10.22363/2313-2337-2017-21-3-396-414

Vasilyeva, N.S. (2018). Al'f Ross o ponyatii i dejstvitelnosti prava: realisticheskij podhod [Al'f Ross on the Concept of Law and Legal Validity: The Realistic Approach]. Izvestiya vysshikh uchebnykh zavedenii. Pravovedenie [Proceedings of Higher Education Institutions. Pravovedenie], (1), pp. 84–117. (in Russ.).

AUTHOR'S INFO:

Arseny A. Kraevsky — Candidate of Legal Sciences, Associate Professor of the Department of Theory and History of State and Law, Saint Petersburg State University.

CITATION:

Kraevsky, A.A. (2019). Problems of Explication of Concepts of Validity and Efficacy of Law in E. Bulygin's Legal Theory. *Trudy Instituta gosudarstva i prava RAN — Proceedings of the Institute of State and Law of the RAS*, 14(4), pp. 81–107. DOI: 10.35427/2073-4522-2019-14-4-kraevsky

VASILY N. GUTSULYAK

Institute of Law, Russian University of Transport
9-9, Obraztsova str., Moscow 127994, Russian
Federation E-mail: gutsul@inbox.ru
ORCID: 0000-0002-5843-2661

DOI: 10.35427/2073-4522-2019-14-4-gutsulyak

THE TYPES OF THE CHARTER-PARTIES IN INTERNATIONAL COMMERCIAL SHIPPING

The article is written with the informational support of SPS
Consultant Plus.

Abstract. One of the most important tools for the use of sea and river vessels for the carriage of goods is a contract of affreightment (charter party). Under the terms of the charter-party, one party (the shipowner) transfers the vessel or part of its premises to the other party (the charterer) for the established remuneration (the freight).

Despite the fact that charter-parties have long been used in the practice of shipping, however, today both in doctrine and in practice there is no unified approach to their classification and understanding of their legal nature.

According to the author, at present all charter-parties can be divided into three main types: voyage-charter, time-charter and bareboat-charter (demise-charter). Attempts by some domestic and foreign scholars to identify other types of charter-parties, such as daily-charter, slot-charter, etc., are unreasonable, since other types of charter-parties do not have their own value and are essentially only special cases or combinations of the three above types of charter-parties.

A voyage-charter is essentially a contract for the carriage of goods by sea with a stipulation to provide an entire ship, or a part of ship, or specified compartments of a ship for the carriage of goods between ports. The shipowner in this case retains full control over the vessel without any exceptions in favor of the charterer.

Under the time-charter the shipowner undertakes to provide the charterer with the vessel and the services of the ship's crew

members for use for a certain period for the carriage of goods, passengers or for other purposes of merchant shipping for a specified remuneration (freight). If the ship is chartered for the carriage of goods, then we are dealing with a contract of the sea carriage. If the vessel is chartered for other purposes, such as marine scientific research, etc., then the time-charter party is a special type of contract (*sui generis*).

A bareboat charter party is a hiring of the ship alone without crew. Bareboat charter party and demise charter are actually equivalent concepts, if there are differences between them, they are minimal. Their legal nature is absolutely the same, which is based on the transfer of the vessel for a certain period in the full and undivided possession of the charterer.

Key words: contract of affreightment, charter-party, shipowner, charterer, freight, voyage-charter, time-charter, bareboat-charter, demise-charter, Master, ship

REFERENCES

Babazadeh, A.F. (2018). Safe Port Clauses: A Comparison of English Law and Azerbaijani Law. *Bakı Dövlət Universiteti Tələbə Hüquq Jurnalı — Baku State University Law Review*, (7), pp. 167–175.

Barinova, I.I., Kiselev, V.A. and Fedorov, L.M. eds. (1985). *Sovremennoe morskoe pravo i praktika ego primeneniya* [Modern Law of the Sea and It's Application Practice]. Moscow: Transport. (in Russ.).

Boyarskaya, Yu.N. (2016). *Dogovor arendy transportnykh sredstv s predostavleniem uslug po upravleniyu i tekhnicheskoi ekspluatatsii* [Vehicle Lease Agreement with the Provision of Management and Maintenance Services]. Moscow: Statut. (in Russ.).

Bulgakova, I.V. and Klepikova, O.V. (2012). *Naukovo-praktichnii komentar do Kodeksu torgovel'nogo moreplavstva Ukraini* [Scientific and Practical Commentary to the Merchant Shipping Code of Ukraine]. Kiev: Liga Zakon. (in Ukr).

Coghlin, T., Baker, A., Kenny, J., Kimball, J.D. and Belknap, T.H. (2014). *Time Charters*. 7th ed. London: Informa plc. DOI: 10.4324/9781315793191

Cooke, J. (2014). *Voyage Charters*. 4th ed. London: Informa Law from Routledge. DOI: 10.4324/9781315795027

Davis, M. (2005). *Bareboat Charters*. 2nd ed. London: LLP.

Ermolaev, G.G. ed. (1988). *Spravochnik kapitana dal'nego plavaniya* [Marine Master of Vessels's Handbook]. Moscow: Transport. (in Russ.).

Galstyan, N.L. (2017). *Pravovoe regulirovanie frakhtovaniya sudov v Rossiiskoi Federatsii* [Legal Regulation of Affreightment in the Russian Federation]. [online]. Remedy.ru. Available at: <http://remedy.ru/ru/2017/04/24/freight-legal-regulation/> [Accessed 15 August 2019]. (in Russ.).

Gebb, Sh.A. (1975). The Demise Charter: A Conceptual and Practical Analysis. *Tulane Law Review*, 49(4), pp. 764–784.

Gilmore, G. and Black, C.L. (1975). *The Law of Admiralty*. 2nd ed. Mineola: The Foundation Press.

Gold, E., Chircop, A. and Kindred, H. (2003). *Maritime Law*. Toronto: Irwin Law. Grime, R. (1978). *Shipping Law*. Concise College Texts. London: Sweet & Maxwell.

Gutsulyak, V.N. (2017). *Rossiiskoe i mezhdunarodnoe morskoe pravo (publichnoe i chastnoe)* [The Russian and International Maritime Law (Public and Private)]. Moscow: Granitsa. (in Russ.).

Harper, E.W. (1975). Demise Charters: Responsibilities of Owner or Charterer for Loss or Damage. *Tulane Law Review*, 49(2), pp. 785–790.

Ignatova, Yu.N. (2013). *Vidy frakhtovaniya na vremya po morskomu pravu* [Types of Chartering the Time on the Law]. *Yurist* [Jurist], (17), pp. 20–25. (in Russ.).

Ivanov, G.G. and Makovskii, A.L. (1984). *Mezhdunarodnoe chastnoe morskoe parvo* [Private International Maritime Law]. Leningrad: Sudostroenie. (in Russ.).

Keenan, R.T. (1959). Charter Parties and Bills of Lading. *Marquette Law Review*, 42(3), pp. 346–361.

Kokin, A.S. (2007). *Mezhdunarodnaya morskaya perevozka gruzov: pravo i praktika* [International Carriage of Goods: Law and Practice]. Moscow: Wolters Kluwer. (in Russ.). Kosovskaya,

V.A. (2002). K voprosu opredeleniya ponyatiya dogovora morskoi perevozki gruzha [The Issue of Definition of the Carriage of Goods by Sea Contract Concept].

Transportnoe pravo [Transportation Law], (3), pp. 13–18. (in Russ.).

Lebedev, S.N. and Kabatova, E.V. eds. (2015). Mezhdunarodnoe chastnoe pravo: V 2 t.

2: Osobennaya chast' [Private International Law: In Two Volumes. Volume 2: Special Part]. Moscow: Statut. (in Russ.).

Lesni, C.I. (2012). The Ship Owner's Obligation to Ensure Seaworthiness of the Ship Implicit Obligation of the Ship Owner in the Charter Party. Contemporary Readings in Law and Social Justice, 4(1), pp. 563–569.

Nosik, A.V. (2008). Dimaiz-charter kak raznovidnost' dogovorov chartera [Demise Charter as a Type of Charter Parties]. Zhurnal nauchnykh publikatsii aspirantov i doktorantov [Journal of Scientific Publications of Postgraduate and Doctoral Students], [online] (8). Available at: <http://jurnal.org/articles/2008/uri41.html> [Accessed 5 August 2019]. (in Russ.).

Nunes, T. (2004). Charterer's Liabilities under the Ship Time Charter. Houston Journal of International Law, 26(3), pp. 561–598.

Ostroumov, N.N. (2009). Dogovor perevozki v mezhdunarodnom vozdušnom soobshchenii [Contract of Carriage in International Aviation]. Moscow: Statut. (in Russ.).

Pashkovskaya, L. (2019). Vidy dogovorov frakhtovaniya sudna [Types of Vessels' Charter-Parties]. [online]. Maritime Law Space. Available at: <https://maritimelaw.space/tag/reisovyi-charter/> [Accessed 9 August 2019]. (in Russ.).

Wilson, J.F. (1992). Carriage of Goods by Sea. London: Pitman.

Yoshimoto, H. (1988). The Time Charter in Japan: A Comparison. The Transnational Lawyer, 1(2), pp. 449–481.

Yurenkova, O.S. (2012). K voprosu o pravovoi prirode dogovora frakhtovaniya [To the Issue of the Legal Nature of the

Chartering Contract]. Vestnik Permskogo universiteta. Yuridicheskie nauki [Perm University Herald. Juridical Sciences], (2), pp. 169–174. (in Russ.).

AUTHOR'S INFO:

Vasiliy N. Gutsulyak — Doctor of Legal Sciences, Professor, Professor of the Transport Law Department, Institute of Law, Russian University of Transport, Honorary Worker of the Merchant Fleet.

CITATION:

Gutsulyak, V.N. (2019). The Types of the Charter-Parties in International Commercial Shipping. *Trudy Instituta gosudarstva i prava RAN — Proceedings of the Institute of State and Law of the RAS*, 14(4), pp. 108–130. DOI: 10.35427/2073-4522-2019-14-4-gutsulyak

ELENA A. SOROKINA

Institute of State and Law, Russian Academy
of Sciences 10, Znamenka str., Moscow
119019, Russian Federation E-mail:
sorokina_ea@mail.ru
ORCID: 0000-0002-6618-8574

DOI: 10.35427/2073-4522-2019-14-4-sorokina

REFERENCES FOR A PRELIMINARY RULING TO THE EUROPEAN UNION COURT OF JUSTICE: THE SUBSTANTIVE CRITERIA OF ADMISSIBILITY

Abstract. The preliminary ruling procedure as stipulated by Article 276 of the Treaty on the functioning of the European Union had a significant impact on the development of EU law and became a collaborative tool as part of the dialogue between supranational and national judges.

The mechanism of preliminary ruling enables to ensure a uniform interpretation and application of the provisions of EU law with all member states and constitutes an instrumental element for preserving the uniformity of the European legal system. When developing the mechanism of preliminary ruling at EU level one considered constitutional & legal traditions of member states, however, for long periods, the EU was perceived as "exotic" one and its impact on the national law was often underestimated. Initially there were no any clear concepts how the mechanism of preliminary ruling would work. The EU court encouraged national judges of member states to use this mechanism; however, gradually it started introducing certain acceptability criteria in respect of such requests.

The practice of the EU Court was summarized in the updated Rules of Procedure of 25 September 2012. During the period from 2014 to 2018, the number of cases submitted for preliminary ruling procedure was increasingly growing. Consequently, national courts had started using this procedure relatively intensively and the consolidation of acceptability criteria created no serious problems for them.

The imposition by the EU Court of minimal requirements towards the substance of requests does not reduce their number,

since the acknowledgement of a request as inadmissible does not prevent a national court from sending a repeated request. However, it contributes to the improvement of quality and efficiency of the preliminary ruling procedure.

The establishment of the respective requirements is necessary to ensure that the EU Court could provide national courts with an interpretation of EU law useful for resolution of a specific dispute and ensure constructiveness of the dialogue.

Keywords: CJEU, national courts, preliminary ruling procedure, request for a preliminary ruling, admissibility, judicial dialogue

REFERENCES

Anderson, D. (1994). The Admissibility of Preliminary References. *Yearbook of European Law*, 14(1), pp. 179–202. DOI: 10.1093/yel/14.1.179

Anderson, D. (1995). *References to the European Court*. London: Sweet & Maxwell.

Antyn, R.G. (2013). Indirect Taxation and the Role of the European Court of Justice within the Preliminary Reference Procedure. *Perspectives on Federalism*, 5(1), pp. 38–57. Arnall, A. (1990). Does the Court of Justice Have Inherent Jurisdiction? *Common Market Law Review*, 27(4), pp. 683–708.

Arnall, A. (2003). The Past and Future of the Preliminary Rulings Procedure. In:

Andenas and J.A. Usher, eds. *The Treaty of Nice and Beyond: Enlargement and Constitutional Reform*. Oxford: Hart Publ., 2003. P. 345–354. DOI: 10.5040/9781472562852.ch-013 Barav, A. (1980). Preliminary Censorship? The Judgment of the European Court in *Foglia v. Novella*. *European Law Review*, 5, pp. 443–468.

Barnard, C. and Sharpston, E. (1997). The Changing Face of Article 177 References. *Common Market Law Review*, 34(5), pp. 1113–1171.

Basedow, J. (2010). Der Europäische Gerichtshof und das Privatrecht: Über Unsicherheiten, Allgemeine Grundsätze und die europäische Justizarchitektur. *Archiv für die civilistische Praxis*, 210(2), pp. 157–195. (in Germ.). [Russ. ed.: Basedow, J. (2012).

Evropeiskii sud i chastnoe pravo: neopredelennost', obshchie printsiy i arkhitektura evropeiskoi sudebnoi sistemy. Translated from German by Yu. M. Yumashev. *Pravo. Zhurnal Vysshei shkoly ekonomiki* [Law. Journal of the Higher School of Economics], (3), pp. 116–142].

Bebr, G. (1977). Article 177 of the EEC Treaty in the Practice of National Courts. *The International and Comparative Law Quarterly*, 26(2), pp. 241–282.

Bebr, G. (1980). The Existence of a Genuine Dispute: An Indispensable Precondition for the Jurisdiction of the Court under Article 177 EEC Treaty? *Common Market Law Review*, 17(4), pp. 525–537

Bebr, G. (1982). The Possible Implications of Foglia v Novello II. *Common Market Law Review*, 19(3), pp. 421–441

Broberg, M. and Fenger, N. (2010). *Preliminary References to the European Court of Justice*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Douglas-Scott, S. (2002). *Constitutional Law of the European Union*. Harlow: Longman. Gautier, Y. (2004). Le renvoi préjudiciel: un instrument efficace de dialogue? In: F. Lichère, L. Potvin-Solis and A. Raynouard, eds. *Le dialogue entre les juges européens et nationaux: incantation ouréalité?* Bruxelles: Bruylant, pp. 203–210. (in Fr.).

Hartley, T. (2003). National Law, International Law and EU Law — How Do They Relate? In: P. Capps, M. Evans and S. Konstadinides, eds. *Asserting Jurisdiction: International and European Legal Perspectives*. Oxford; Portland: Hart Publishing, pp. 65–82. DOI: 10.5040/9781472562814.ch-004

Ispolinov, A. (2016). Pretsedent v praktike Suda Evropeyskogo Soyuzu [Precedent in the Jurisprudence of the European Union Court of Justice]. *Meždunarodnoe pravosudie* [International Justice], (3), pp. 64–77. (in Russ.).

Ispolinov, A. (2017). Iсполнение reshenii mezhdunarodnykh sudov: teoriya i praktika [Execution of Judgments of International Courts: Theory and Practice]. *Meždunarodnoe pravosudie* [International Justice], (1), pp. 45–68. (in Russ.). DOI: 10.21128/2226-2059- 2017-1-45-67

Lauterpacht, H. (1929). Decisions of Municipal Courts as a Source of International Law. *British Yearbook of International Law*, 10, pp. 65–95.

Lenaerts, K. (1994). Form and Substance of the Preliminary Rulings Procedure. In:

Curtin and T. Heukels, eds. *Institutional Dynamics of European Integration Essays in Honour of Henry G. Schermers. Volume II*. Dordrecht: Nijhoff, pp. 355–380.

Mancini, G.F. (2000). The Making of a Constitution for Europe. In: Mancini, G.F. *Democracy and Constitutionalism in the European Union: Collected Essays*. Oxford: Hart, pp. 1–16. DOI: 10.5040/9781472562081.ch-001

O’Neill, M. (1996). Article 177 and Limits to the Right to Refer: An End to the Confusion? *European Public Law*, 2(3), pp. 375–391.

Pescatore, P. (1986). Il rinvio pregiudiziale di cui all’art. 177 del Trattato CEE e la cooperazione tra la Corte ed i giudicinali. *Il Foro Italiano*, 109(1), pp. 25/26–47/48. (in It.).

Plender, R. (1983). The European Court as an International Tribunal. *The Cambridge Law Journal*, 42(2), pp. 279–298. DOI: 10.1017/S000819730011311X

Prechal, S. (2006). National Courts in EU Judicial Structures. *Yearbook of European Law*, 25(1), pp. 429–450. DOI: 10.1093/yel/25.1.429

Rasmussen, H. (2000). Remediating the Crumbling EC Judicial System. *Common Market Law Review*, 37(5), pp. 1071–1112.

Rodriguez-Medal, J. (2015). Concept of a Court or Tribunal under the Reference for a Preliminary Ruling: Who Can Refer Questions to the Court of Justice of the EU? *European Journal of Legal Studies*, 8(1), P. 104–146.

Rosas, A. (2016). The Preliminary Rulings Procedure. In: D. Patterson and A. Södersten, eds. *A Companion to European Union Law and International Law*. Chichester: Wiley Blackwell, pp. 179–192. DOI: 10.1002/9781119037712.ch13

Schermers, H.G. and Waelbroeck, D. (1992). *Judicial Protection in the European Communities*. Deventer; Boston: Kluwer Law and Taxation Publishers.

Shaw, J. (1996). Article 177 EC — The Organic Connection Between National Courts and the Court of Justice. In: *Law of the European Union*. London; Palgrave: Macmillan Law Masters, pp. 135–150. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-349-13078-8_7

Temple, J.L. (1997). The Duties of National Courts under Community Constitutional Law. *European Law Review*, 22(1), pp. 3–18.

Wahl, N. and Prete, L. (2018). The Gatekeepers of Article 267 TFEU: On Jurisdiction and Admissibility of References for Preliminary Rulings. *Common Market Law Review*, 55(2), pp. 511–548.

Wooldridge, F. (1993). Disguised Contributions in Kind; The European Court Refuses a Preliminary Ruling on Hypothetical Questions. *Legal Issues of Economic Integration*, 20(2), pp. 69–81.

AUTHOR'S INFO:

Elena A. Sorokina — Candidate of Legal Sciences, Research Fellow of the Human Rights Department, Institute of State and Law, Russian Academy of Sciences.

CITATION:

Sorokina, E.A. (2019). References for a Preliminary Ruling to the European Union Court of Justice: The Substantive Criteria of Admissibility. *Trudy Institutagosudarstva i prava RAN — Proceedings of the Institute of State and Law of the RAS*, 14 (4), pp. 131–156. DOI: 10.35427/2073-4522-2019-14-4-sorokina

ELISABETH ROSSA

Rechtswissenschaftliche Fakultät, Universität
zu Köln Albertus-Magnus-Platz, Köln 50923,
Deutschland
E-mail: elisabeth.rossa@uni-
koeln.de ORCID: 0000-0003-
1930-5181

DOI: 10.35427/2073-4522-2019-14-4-rossa

DER SCHUTZ VON KINDERN MIT BEHINDERUNG. DAS VERHÄLTNISS DES ÜBEREINKOMMENS ÜBER DIE RECHTE DES KINDES UND DES ÜBEREINKOMMENS ÜBER DIE RECHTE VON MENSCHEN MIT BEHINDERUNGEN

Abstract. Das Übereinkommen über die Rechte des Kindes (kurz: UN-Kinderrechtskonvention) ist nicht der einzige völkerrechtliche Vertrag, der sich der internationalen und innerstaatlichen Anhebung des Schutzniveaus für Kinder verschrieben hat. Daneben enthält auch das Übereinkommen über die Rechte von Menschen mit Behinderungen (kurz: UN-Behindertenrechtskonvention) Bestimmungen, die speziell auf die Bedürfnisse von Kindern mit Behinderungen ausgerichtet sind und diesen vor allem im Bereich der Bildung Chancengleichheit ermöglichen sollen. Einer (Mehrfach-)Diskriminierung von Kindern mit Behinderung soll nach Maßgabe der Konvention insbesondere durch eine zielgerichtete Integration in Gesellschaft und Schule abgeholfen werden. Ob dabei zwingend allein eine inklusive Beschulung zielführend ist oder auch weitere Formen von Partizipation denkbar wären, ist weiterhin umstritten. Abseits dieser teils hitzig geführten Debatte ergeben sich aus der UN-Behindertenrechtskonvention zahlreiche Anhaltspunkte für den einzelnen Staat, wie eine möglichst kindgerechte Persönlichkeitsentwicklung von Kindern mit Behinderung gewährleistet werden kann. Ob diese Bestimmungen die Vertragsstaaten jedoch in jedem Fall auch innerstaatlich binden, gilt es in diesem Beitrag herauszufinden. Ebenso ist das Verhältnis der UN-Kinderrechtskonvention zur

UN-Behindertenrechts- konvention zu erörtern, da nur so der konkrete Gewährleistungsumfang der Über- einkommen für das Kind im Einzelfall bestimmt werden kann. Auch wenn beide völkerrechtlichen Verträge jeweils einen möglichst umfassenden Schutz von Kindern bezwecken, gibt es doch einige feine Unterschiede hinsichtlich der gewählten Umsetzungsmethoden, die sich letztlich nicht unbeträchtlich auswirken können. Vergleichskriterien bilden beispielsweise die Durchsetzbarkeit der Vertragsbestimmun- gen sowie deren unmittelbare Anwendbarkeit im innerstaatlichen Bereich. Dabei ist auf innerstaatlicher Ebene auch die Rechtsprechung des Bundesverfassungsgerichts zu beachten, das sich bereits mehrfach zu der Auslegung des Grundgesetzes im Lich- te menschenrechtlicher Verträge geäußert hat.

Schlüsselwörter: Menschenrechte, Kinderrechte, Rechte von Menschen mit Behinderungen, UN-Kinderrechtskonvention, UN-Behindertenrechtskonvention, Diskriminierungsverbot, Chancengleichheit, Inklusion, Integration, Bundesverfassungsgericht

ELISABETH ROSSA

Faculty of Law, University of Cologne
Albertus-Magnus-Platz, Köln 50923,
Deutschland E-mail:
elisabeth.rossa@uni-koeln.de
ORCID: 0000-0003-1930-5181

PROTECTION OF CHILDREN WITH DISABILITIES. CORRELATION OF THE UN CONVENTION ON THE CHILDREN'S RIGHTS AND THE UN CONVENTION ON THE RIGHTS OF DISABLED PERSONS

Abstract. The UN Convention on child's rights is not a sole international and legal treaty aimed at enhancing the extent of protection of children at both the international and domestic levels. In particular, the UN Convention on the rights of disabled persons contains prescriptions that are designed to ensure needs of

children with disabilities, including the equality of opportunities in the area of education. The elimination of diversified discrimination of children with disabilities in accordance to this Convention should, inter alia, be performed through their dedicated integration into society and school. Whether an exclusively school education contributes to achieving such goal or whether other forms are acceptable too — this is a question requiring qualified discussion. The Convention on the rights of disabled persons permits various options of ensuring full-fledged development of the personality of a child with disabilities within the limits of individual states. It is being discussed in the article whether the provisions of the Convention are compulsory for the member states in each specific case. Besides, the correlation of the Convention on the rights of children and Convention on the rights of disabled persons is under review since it is the only way to identify the specific scope of the guarantees of children's rights stipulated by the Convention. Regardless the fact that both international and legal treaties are aimed at ensuring the fullest protection of children, there are certain subtle differences in respect of the ways of their enforcement which might eventually appear to be material. The criteria for comparison are, for instance, enforceability of conventional provisions as well as their direct effect in domestic law and order. For Germany, for instance, the practice of the Federal Constitutional Court that repeatedly voiced about interpretation of the Basic Law of Germany in the light of international treaties on human rights.

Keywords: human rights, children's rights, rights of disabled persons, Convention on children's rights, Convention on rights of disabled persons, prohibition of discrimination, equality of opportunities, inclusiveness, integration, Federal Constitutional Court of Germany

REFERENCES

Aichele, V. (2008). *Die UN-Behindertenrechtskonvention und ihr Fakultativprotokoll. Ein Beitrag zur Ratifikationsdebatte.* Berlin: Deutsches Institut für Menschenrech- te. (in Germ.).

Aichele, V. (2011). Die UN-Behindertenrechtskonvention in der gerichtlichen Praxis. Eine Aufgabe für die Anwaltschaft: Die Rezeption menschenrechtlicher Normen durchsetzen. *Anwaltsblatt*, (10), pp. 727–730. (in Germ.).

Bernstorff von, J. (2007). Menschenrechte und

Betroffenenrepräsentation: Entstehung und Inhalt eines UN-Antidiskriminierungsübereinkommens über die Rechte von behinderten Menschen. *Zeitschrift für ausländisches öffentliches Recht und Völkerrecht*, 67, pp. 1041–1064. (in Germ.).

Bielefeldt, H. (2009). *Zum Innovationspotential der UN-Behindertenrechtskonvention*. 3rd ed. Berlin: Deutsches Institut für Menschenrechte. (in Germ.).

Bleckmann, A. (1970). *Begriff und Kriterien der innerstaatlichen Anwendbarkeit völkerrechtlicher Verträge. Versuch einer allgemeinen Theorie des Self-executing Treaty auf rechtsvergleichender Grundlage*. Berlin: Duncker & Humblot. (in Germ.).

Degener, T. (2009). *Die UN-Behindertenrechtskonvention als Inklusionsmotor*.

Recht der Jugend und des Bildungswesens, 57(2), pp. 200–219. (in Germ.).

Dörr, O. (2012). Article 30. Application of Successive Treaties Relating to the Same Subject Matter. In: O. Dörr and K. Schmalenbach, eds. *Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties: A Commentary*. Berlin: Springer, pp. 505–518. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-19291-3_33

Eichholz, R. (2012). *Kinder mit Behinderung und das Recht auf Inklusion*. *Familie, Partnerschaft, Recht*, (4), pp. 228–231. (in Germ.).

Hirschberg, M. (2011). *Behinderung: Neues Verständnis nach der Behindertenrechtskonvention*. *Positionen*, (4), pp. 1–4. (in Germ.).

Höfling, W. (2012). *Rechtsfragen der Umsetzung von Art. 24 der UN-Behindertenrechtskonvention in Nordrhein-Westfalen unter besonderer Berücksichtigung der Konnexitätsproblematik*. *Rechtsgutachten erstattet im Auftrag des Städtetages Nordrhein-Westfalen*. [online] Landtag NRW. Available at: http://www.staedtetag-nrw.de/imperia/md/content/verband-suebergreifend/2012/gutachten_hoefling_inklusion_schulbereich.pdf [Accessed: 17 June 2019]. (in Germ.).

Krajewski, M. (2010). Ein Menschenrecht auf integrativen Schulunterricht: Zur innerstaatlichen Wirkung von Art. 24 der UN-Behindertenrechtskonvention. *Juristen Zeitung*, 65(3), pp. 120–125. (in Germ.).

Marwege, G. (2013). Legasthenie und Dyskalkulie in der Schule. Eine verfassungsrechtliche Untersuchung unter besonderer Berücksichtigung der UN-Behindertenrechtskonvention. Göttingen: Universitätsverlag Göttingen. (in Germ.).

Münch von, I. and Kunig, P. (2012). Grundgesetz-Kommentar. In 2 Volumes. 6.

Aufl. München: C.H. Beck, 2012. (in Germ.).

Penka, S. (2011). Ein Recht auf Teilhabe?! Die Bedeutung der UN-Behindertenrechtskonvention und der UN-Kinderrechtskonvention für junge Menschen mit Behinderung. In: F. Fink, Th. Hinz, eds. *Inklusion in Behindertenhilfe und Psychiatrie. Vom Traum zur Wirklichkeit*. Freiburg: Lambertus Verlag GmbH, pp. 67–77. (in Germ.).

Penka, S. and Fehrenbacher, R. eds. (2012). *Kinderrechte umgesetzt. Grundlagen, Reflexionen und Praxis*. Freiburg: Lambertus Verlag GmbH. (in Germ.).

Pluhar, C. (2011). Auf dem Weg zu einem inklusiven Schulsystem — Zum Stand der Umsetzung der UN Konvention über die Rechte von Menschen mit Behinderungen (BRK) in Schleswig-Holstein. *Recht der Jugend und des Bildungswesens*, 59(2), pp. 218–226. (in Germ.). DOI: 10.5771/0034-1312-2011-2-218

Poscher, R., Rux, J. and Langer, T. (2008). *Von der Integration zur Inklusion*. Baden-Baden: Nomos. (in Germ.). DOI: 10.5771/9783845211671

Rossa, E. (2014). *Kinderrechte. Das Übereinkommen über die Rechte des Kindes im internationalen und nationalen Kontext*. Frankfurt am Main: P. Lang; Internationaler Verlag der Wissenschaften. (in Germ.). DOI: 10.3726/978-3-653-04567-3

Rothfritz, L.P. (2010). *Die Konvention der Vereinten*

Nationen zum Schutz der Rechte von Menschen mit Behinderungen. Eine Analyse unter Bezugnahme auf die deutsche und europäische Rechtsebene. Frankfurt am Main; New York: P. Lang. (in Germ.). DOI: 10.3726/978-3-653-00263-8

Rudolf, B. (2011). Die neue europäische Grundrechtsarchitektur — Auftrag für Anwalte. *Anwaltsblatt*, (3), pp. 153–158. (in Germ.).

Rux, J. (2009). Kein Handlungsbedarf oder Anlass für eine bildungspolitische Revolution? — Zur innerstaatlichen Umsetzung der Behindertenrechtskonvention der Vereinten Nationen. *Recht der Jugend und des Bildungswesens*, 57(2), pp. 220–228. (in Germ.).

Schmahl, S. (2007). Menschen mit Behinderungen im Spiegel des internationalen Menschenrechtsschutzes. *Archiv des Völkerrechts*, 45(4), pp. 517–540. (in Germ.).

Schmahl, S. (2013). *Kinderrechtskonvention mit Zusatzprotokollen. Handkommentar.*

Baden-Baden: Nomos. (in Germ.).

Stein, T., Buttlar von, C. (2012). *Völkerrecht*. 13th ed. München: Franz Vahlen. (in Germ.).

Suelmann, S. (2013). *Bildung in der Frauenrechtskonvention, der Kinderrechtskonvention und der Behindertenrechtskonvention der Vereinten Nationen. Studien zum Völker- und Europarecht.* Hamburg: Kovač. (in Germ.).

Weiß, N. (2006). Die neue UN-Konvention über die Rechte von Menschen mit Behinderungen — weitere Präzisierung des Menschenrechtsschutzes. *Menschen Rechts Magazin: Informationen, Meinungen, Analysen*, 11(3), pp. 293–300. (in Germ.).

Welke, A. ed. (2012). *UN-Behindertenkonvention mit rechtlichen Erläuterungen.* Freiburg: Lambertus Verlag GmbH. (in Germ.).

INFORMATIONEN ÜBER DIE AUTORIN:

Rossa Elisabeth — Doktor, wissenschaftliche Mitarbeiterin, Institut für Völkerrecht und ausländisches öffentliches Recht, Rechtswissenschaftliche Fakultät, Universität zu Köln (Deutschland).

AUTHOR'S INFO:

Elisabeth Rossa — Candidate of Legal Sciences, Research Fellow of the Institute of International Law and Foreign Public Law, Faculty of Law, University of Cologne (Germany)

FÜR ZITIERUNGEN:

Rossa, E. (2019). Der Schutz von Kindern mit Behinderung. Das Verhältnis des Über- einkommens über die Rechte des Kindes und des Übereinkommens über die Rechte von Menschen mit Behinderungen. *Trudy Instituta gosudarstva i prava RAN — Proceedings of the Institute of State and Law of the RAS*, 14 (4), pp. 157–178. DOI: 10.35427/2073-4522- 2019-14-4-rossa

CITATION:

Rossa, E. (2019). Protection of Children with Disabilities. Correlation of the UN Con- vention on the Children's Rights and the UN Convention on the Rights of Disabled Persons. *Trudy Instituta gosudarstva i prava RAN — Proceedings of the Institute of State and Law of the RAS*, 14 (4), pp. 157–178. DOI: 10.35427/2073-4522-2019-14-4-rossa

OLGA L. DUBOVIK

Institute of State and Law, Russian Academy
of Sciences 10, Znamenka str., Moscow
119019, Russian Federation E-mail:
o.l.dubovik@yandex.ru
ORCID: 0000-0001-6250-419X

DOI: 10.35427/2073-4522-2019-14-4-dubovik

**CRIMINAL LAW DOCTRINE AND
PHILOSOPHICAL THEORIES. REFLECTIONS ON
THE BOOK OF SERGEY A. BOCHKAREV (2019)
FILOSOFIA UGOLONOGO PRAVA: POSTANOVKA
VOPROSA [PHILOSOPHY OF CRIMINAL LAW:
POSING OF THE QUESTION]. MOSCOW:
NORMA, 2019. 424 pp.**

Abstract. In the modern context the interest to researches in the area of philosophical doctrines of the branches of law and, in particular, philosophy of criminal law is increasing. The monograph by S.A. Bochkarev reflects new approaches towards the research of eternal problems of crime and punishment — interdisciplinary and socially significant ones, in its nature. They are based upon the attempt of synthesizing the philosophical and legal (criminal law) areas of expertise.

Having conducted the revision of philosophical and legal reflection since antiquity and up to the Modern times, the author makes a conclusion on the existence of own school of philosophy of criminal law in Russia, the achievements of which, however, turned out to be unclaimed by contemporary researchers. Among the drawbacks of cognizing criminal law reality the author underlines static ideological attitudes and inelasticity of the subject of cognition, imperfection of the methodology employed by them. S.A. Bochkarev also draws attention to the low level of development of criminal law-making and gaps in the law enforcement practice.

The author specially focuses on stereotypes that have formed in respect to "senseaking" categories of theory and philosophy of criminal law — crime and punishment, thus emphasizing the infeasibility of adequate research of these categories without analysis of their ontology-based backbone.

The standpoint of the author towards virtualization of

criminal law space is of tremendous interest. In his opinion, virtual law concept presents a conglomerate of many cultures and values; virtual space is immanently not connected with the territory, while virtual time does not negate and change the real one. Nowadays virtual reality is becoming a way of existence and interaction between people and with due regard to virtualization of criminal law space a series of norms of criminal law on the elements of crime needs to be adjusted.

The book is multidimensional and meaningful; it calls for thoughtful reading and reflections on what is being read while broad discussion of the issues raised in it will be conducive to development of the Russian legal science.

Keywords: criminal law, philosophy of law, philosophy of criminal law, crime, punishment, virtualization of criminal law space

AUTHOR'S INFO:

Ol'ga L. Dubovik — Doctor of Legal Sciences, Professor, Chief Research Fellow of the Ecological Land and Agrarian Law Department, Institute of State and Law, Russian Academy of Sciences.

CITATION:

Dubovik, O.L. (2019). Criminal Law Doctrine and Philosophical Theories. Reflections on the Book of Sergey A. Bochkarev (2019) *Filosofiya ugolovnogo prava: postanovka voprosa* [Philosophy of Criminal Law: Posing of the Question]. Moscow: Norma. 424 pp. *Trudy Instituta gosudarstva i prava RAN — Proceedings of the Institute of State and Law of the RAS*, 14 (4), pp. 179–185. DOI: 10.35427/2073-4522-2019-14-4-dubovik

ALEXANDER I. CHERKASOV

Institute of State and Law, Russian Academy
of Sciences 10, Znamenka str., Moscow
119019, Russian Federation E-mail:
aligorch@yandex.ru

ORCID: 0000-0001-7531-1020

DOI: 10.35427/2073-4522-2019-14-4-cherkasov

PROFESSOR VENIAMIN E. CHIRKIN 95th BIRTHDAY

AUTHOR'S INFO:

Alexander I. Cherkasov — Candidate of Legal Sciences, Associate Professor, Leading Research Fellow of the Human Rights Department, Institute of State and Law, Russian Academy of Sciences.

CITATION:

Cherkasov, A.I. (2019). Professor Veniamin E. Chirkin 95th Birthday. *Trudy Instituta gosudarstva i prava RAN — Proceedings of the Institute of State and Law of the RAS*, 14 (4), pp. 186–189. DOI: 10.35427/2073-4522-2019-14-4-cherkasov

VENIAMIN E. CHIRKIN

Institute of State and Law, Russian Academy
of Sciences 10, Znamenka str., Moscow
119019, Russian Federation E- mail:
vechirkin@yandex.ru
ORCID: 0000-0002-6471-9212

NINEL S. KRYLOVA

Institute of State and Law, Russian Academy
of Sciences 10, Znamenka str., Moscow
119019, Russian Federation E-mail:
ninelkrylova@mail.ru
ORCID: 0000-0001-7621-648X

OLGA A. KHAZOVA

Faculty of Law, Moscow School of Social and Economic
Sciences
82, building 2, prospect Vernadskogo, Moscow 119571,
Russian Federation E-mail: o.khazova@gmail.com
ORCID: 0000-0002-1551-4181

DMITRY V. DOZHDEV

Faculty of Law, Moscow School of Social and Economic
Sciences
82, building 2, prospect Vernadskogo, Moscow 119571,
Russian Federation E-mail: dozhdev@list.ru
ORCID: 0000-0002-0001-5259

DOI: 10.35427/2073-4522-2019-14-4-chirkin-krylova-khazova-
dozhdev

THE JUBILEE OF PROFESSOR W.E. BUTLER

REFERENCES

Butler, W.E. (2015). Rossiya i yuridicheskaya karta mira [Russia on the Legal Map of the World]. *Vestnik Universiteta imeni O.E. Kutafina (MGYuA)* [Courier of the Kutafin Moscow State Law University (MSAL)], (5), pp. 73–90.

Butler, W.E. (2011). Rossiya, pravovye traditsii mira i izmenenie prava [Russia, Legal Traditions of the World and Changes in the Law]. Translated from English by D.V. Dozhdev. In: D.V. Dozhdev, ed. *Ezhegodnik sravnitel'nogo prava* [Yearbook of Comparative Law]. Moscow: Statut, pp. 6–11.

Khazova, O.A. ed. (2006). Chelovek i ego vremya: Zhizn' i rabota Avgusta Rubanova [A Man and His Era: Life and Work of August Rubanov]. Moscow: Wolters Kluwer.

Rubanov, A. (2000). The Theory of Open Law: Relations in the International Arena. *Sudebnik*, 5(1), pp. 139–150.

AUTHORS' INFO:

Veniamin E. Chirkin — Doctor of Legal Sciences, Professor, Honored Lawyer of the Russian Federation, Honored Science Worker of the Russian Federation, Chief Research Fellow of the Administrative Law and Procedure Department, Institute of State and Law, Russian Academy of Sciences, Member of the 1993 Constitutional Convention.

Ninel S. Krylova — Doctor of Legal Sciences.

Olga A. Khazova — Candidate of Legal Sciences, Associate Professor, Head of the Department of the Faculty of Law, Moscow School of Social and Economic Sciences, UN Committee of the Rights of the Child Member, Vice-President of the International Society of Family Law.

Dmitry V. Dozhdev — Doctor of Legal Sciences, Professor, Dean of the Faculty of Law, Moscow School of Social and Economic Sciences, Head of the Theory and History of Private Law Department, Russian State School of Private Law, Private Law Research Centre under the President of the Russian Federation named after S.S. Alekseev, Associate Member of the International Academy of Comparative Law.

CITATION:

Chirkin, V.E., Krylova, N.S., Khazova, O.A. and Dozhdev, D.V. (2019). The Jubilee of Professor W.E. Butler. *Trudy Instituta gosudarstva i prava RAN — Proceedings of the Institute of State and Law of the RAS*, 14(4), pp. 190–202. DOI: 10.35427/2073-4522-2019-14-4-chirkin-krylova-khazova-dozhdev

IN MEMORIA

MIKHAIL V. ANTONOV

School of Law, Higher School of Economics National Research
University, Campus in Saint Petersburg
17, Promyshlennaya str., Saint Petersburg 198099,
Russian Federation E-mail: mich.antonov@gmail.com
ORCID: 0000-0002-6462-2664

SERGEI V. KOROLEV

Institute of State and Law, Russian Academy
of Sciences 10, Znamenka str., Moscow
119019, Russian Federation E-mail:
sko.05@mail.ru
ORCID: 0000-0002-1072-5596

ANDREY V. POLYAKOV

Saint Petersburg State University
7/9, Universitetskaya embankment, Saint Petersburg
199034, Russian Federation
E-mail:
polyakov2008@gmail.com
ORCID: 0000-0001-9254-
5806

DOI: 10.35427/2073-4522-2019-14-4-antonov-korolev-polyakov

IN MEMORY OF WERNER KRAWIETZ

REFERENCES

Aarnio, A., Hoeren, Th., Paulson, S.L., Schulte, M. and
Wyduckel, D. eds. (2013).

Positivität, Normativität und Institutionalität des Rechts.
Festschrift für Werner Krawietz zum 80. Geburtstag. Berlin:
Duncker & Humblot. (in Germ.). DOI: 10.3790/978-3-428-54278-
9 Aarnio, A., Paulson, S.L., Weinberger, O. and Wright von, G.H.,
eds. (1993). Rechtsnorm und Rechtswirklichkeit. Festschrift für
Werner Krawietz zum 60. Geburtstag. Berlin: Duncker &

Humblot. (in Germ.). DOI: 10.3790/978-3-428-07906-3

Atienza, M., Pattaro, E. and Schulte, M. eds. (2003). *Theorie des Rechts und der Gesellschaft. Festschrift für Werner Krawietz zum 70. Geburtstag.* Berlin: Duncker & Humblot. (in Germ.).

Bergmann, W. and Krawietz, W. eds. (2009). *Nach 20 Jahren Perestrojka — Wege zu einer Neuen Weltordnung. Michail S. Gorbatschow und Richard von Weizsäcker im Gespräch auf dem Petersberg in Bonn. Gorbatschow-SONDERHEFT.* In: *Rechtstheorie.* Volume 40. Issue 2. Berlin: Duncker & Humblot, pp. 149–252. (in Germ.). DOI: 10.3790/978-3-428-53236-0

Krawietz, W. (1967). *Das positive Recht und seine Funktion. Kategoriale und methodologische Überlegungen zu einer funktionalen Rechtstheorie.* Berlin: Duncker & Humblot. (in Germ.).

Krawietz, W. (1978). *Juristische Entscheidung und wissenschaftliche Erkenntnis.* Wien; New York: Springer. (in Germ.).

Krawietz, W. (1984). *Recht als Regelsystem.* Wiesbaden: Steiner. (in Germ.). Krawietz, W. (1986). *Recht und Systemtheorie.* In: T. Eckhoff, L.M. Friedman and

J. Uusitalo, eds. *Vernunft und Erfahrung in Rechtsdenken der Gegenwart.* Berlin: Duncker & Humblot, pp. 281–309. (in Germ.).

Krawietz, W. (2001). *The Concept of Law Revised — Directives and Norms in the Perspectives of a New Legal Realism.* *Ratio Juris*, 14(1), pp. 34–46. DOI: 10.1111/1467-9337.00170 [Russ. ed.: Krawietz, W. (2009). *Peresmotr ponyatiya prava: direktivy i normy s tochki zreniya novogo pravovogo realizma.* Translated from English by M.V. Antonov and A.V. Polyakov. In: A.V. Polyakov, ed. *Rossiiskii ezhegodnik teorii prava* [Russian Yearbook of Theory of Law]. Issue 1. Saint Petersburg: OOO “Universitetskii izdatel’skii konsortsium ‘Yuridicheskaya kniga’”, pp. 433–445].

Krawietz, W. (2008). *Juridische Kommunikation im modernen Rechtssystem in rechtstheoretischer Perspektive.* In: W. Brugger, U. Neumann and S. Kirste, eds. *Rechtsphilosophie im 21. Jahrhundert.* Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp, pp. 181–206.

(in Germ.). [Russ. ed.: Krawietz, W. (2011). *Yuridicheskaya kommunikatsiya v sovremennykh pravovykh sistemakh* [Juridical Communication in Modern Legal Systems]. Translated from German by M.V. Antonov. *Izvestiya vysshikh uchebnykh zavedenii. Pravovedenie* [Proceedings of Higher Education Institutions. Pravovedenie], (5), pp. 8–26].

Krawietz, W. (2010). Narrative Jurisprudenz oder Theorie der Rechtskommunikation? Überlegungen zu einer Geschichtenphänomenologie des Rechts. In: P. Gödicke, H. Hammen, W. Schur and W.D. Walker, eds. *Festschrift für Jan Schapp zum siebzigsten Geburtstag*. Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck. (in Germ.). [Russ. ed.: Krawietz, W. (2012). *Narrativnaya yurisprudentsiya ili teoriya pravovoi kommunikatsii? Razmyshleniya o fenomenologii povestvovaniya prava* [Narrative Jurisprudence or the Theory of Legal Communication? Reflection on Phenomenology of Narrative of Law]. Translated from German by M.V. Baiteeva. *Izvestiya vysshikh uchebnykh zavedenii. Pravovedenie* [Proceedings of Higher Education Institutions. Pravovedenie], (1), pp. 18–32].

Krawietz, W. (2012). *Sovremennoe pravo i sistema prava v perspektive teorii kommunikatsii* [Modern Law and the System of Law in the Context of Communication Theory]. Translated from German by S.V. Korolev. In: A.V. Polyakov, ed. *Rossiiskii ezhegodnik teorii prava* [Russian Yearbook of Theory of Law]. Issue 4. Saint Petersburg: OOO “Univer- sitetskii izdatel’skii konsortsium ‘Yuridicheskaya kniga’”, pp. 174–185. (in Russ.).

Krawietz, W. (2014). *Tendentsii k gegemonii i idushchie na smenu gegemonii novatsii v deistvii i issledovanii: obraz sovremennoi teorii prava* [Tendencies towards Hegemony and Innovations in Action and Research Which are Going to Replace Hegemony: The Image of the Modern Theory of Law]. Translated from German by M.V. Antonov. *Izvestiya vysshikh uchebnykh zavedenii. Pravovedenie* [Proceedings of Higher Education Institutions. Pravovedenie], (6), pp. 25–40. (in Russ.).

Kulikov, A.A. (2001). *Krawietz W. Pravo i teoriya sistem* [Krawietz W. Law and Systems Theory]. In: Yu. S. Pivovarov, ed. *Pravo XX veka: idei i tsennosti* [The 20th Century Law: Ideas and Values]. Moscow: INION RAN, pp. 116–120. (in Russ.).

AUTHORS' INFO:

Mikhail V. Antonov — Candidate of Legal Sciences, Associate Professor of the Department of Theory and History of Law and State, School of Law, Higher School of Economics National Research University, Campus in Saint Petersburg.

Sergei V. Korolev — Doctor of Legal Sciences, Chief Research Fellow of the International Law Department, Institute of State and Law, Russian Academy of Sciences.

Andrey V. Polyakov — Doctor of Legal Sciences, Associate Professor, Professor of the Theory and History of State and Law Department, Saint Petersburg State University.

CITATION:

Antonov, M.V., Korolev, S.V. and Polyakov, A.V. (2019). In Memory of Werner Krawietz. *Trudy Instituta gosudarstva i prava RAN — Proceedings of the Institute of State and Law of the RAS*, 14(4), pp. 203–213. DOI: 10.35427/2073-4522-2019-14-4-antonov-korolev-polyakov